UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/697,800	10/31/2003	Jorg Bernard	G5005.0027	1152
32172 DICKSTEIN SI	7590 10/15/200 HAPIRO LLP	EXAMINER		
1177 AVENUE	OF THE AMERICAS	BEKKER, KELLY JO		
NEW YORK, N	NEW YORK, NY 10036-2714		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/15/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/697,800	BERNARD ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Kelly Bekker	1794			
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication a Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ 5	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15</u>	July 2008				
·		is action is non-final.				
/—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
<i>,</i> —	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositio	n of Claims					
4)× C	claim(s) <u>30-50 and 61</u> is/are pending in the	application.				
· —	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
·	Claim(s) <u>30-50 and 61</u> is/are rejected.					
· · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.				
Applicatio	n Papers					
	ne specification is objected to by the Examin	ner				
•	ne drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		Examiner.			
-						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 1794

DETAILED ACTION

Amendments made 7/15/08 have been entered. Claims 30-50 and 61 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

The 112 2nd paragraph rejection of claim 50 due to the use of improper Markush-type language has been withdrawn in light of applicant's amendments made July 15, 2008.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 30-35, 38-42, 44-47, and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barrett et al. (US 6531174 B2) in view of Takazoe et al. (US 4556429) or Koji et al. (JP 40119164A). The references and rejection are incorporated herein and as cited in the office action mailed May 5, 2008.

Claims 36, 37, 43, 48, 50, and 61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barrett et al. (US 6531174 B2) in view of Takazoe et al. (US 4556429) or Koji et al. (JP 40119164A Abstract only), further in view of Willibald-Ettle et al. (US 6458400 B1). The references and rejection are incorporated herein and as cited in the office action mailed May 5, 2008.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed July 15, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the references of record do not teach of a gelatin-free soft caramel containing isomaltulose. Applicant's argument is not convincing as Barrett teaches of a gelatin free soft caramel with sucrose and as Takazoe and Koji teach of

replacing all or a portion of sucrose in caramels with isomaltulose in order to aid in dental protection.

Applicant argues that there is no teaching or suggestion of combining crystalline isomaltulose with a non crystalline sweetener. Applicant's argument is not convincing as Barrett teaches of crystalline sweetener, such as sucrose, in combination with a non crystalline sweetener, such as maltitol (Column 4 lines 39-53); as Takazoe teaches of a crystalline sweetener with improved dental protection that is a combination isomaltulose, i.e. palatinose, and sucrose and that can be used in caramels (Abstract and Column 5 lines 3-10); as Koji teaches of a crystalline sweetener, isomaltulose has improved dental protection and is used to manufacture caramel in crystalline form with non crystalline sweeteners, such as sorbitol (page 4, Purpose of the Invention and page 6). Thus one would have been motivated to include crystalline isomaltulose in combination with sucrose as the crystalline sweetener, that was combined with the non crystalline sweetener composition, in the caramel composition as taught by Barrett in view of Takazoe or Koji in order to reduce cavities caused from the food product.

Applicant argues that one would not have a reasonable expectation of success when combining the references since isomaltulose is heat sensitive. Applicant's argument is not convincing as Takazoe teaches isomaltulose can be used in caramels (Abstract and Column 5 lines 3-10) and as Koji teaches crystalline isomaltulose is used to manufacture caramel, including the step of heating to around 120C (page 7). Thus, since both Takazoe and Koji teach that isomaltulose can be included in the production of caramel, one would have a reasonable expectation of success when including isomaltulose in the caramel composition as taught by Barrett.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 10/697,800 Page 4

Art Unit: 1794

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kelly Bekker whose telephone number is (571) 272-2739. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Keith Hendricks can be reached on (571) 272-1401. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Lien Tran/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1794 /Kelly Bekker/ Examiner Art Unit 1794